United States District Court Northern District of California

| 1 | | |
|----|---|--|
| 2 | | |
| 3 | | |
| 4 | UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT | |
| 5 | NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA | |
| 6 | | |
| 7 | HEATHER QUINN, et al., | Case No. <u>16-cv-03080-WHO</u> |
| 8 | Plaintiffs, | DECEMBAL FOR BURDOGE OF |
| 9 | v. | REFERRAL FOR PURPOSE OF DETERMINING RELATIONSHIP |
| 10 | CORDIS CORPORATION, | Re: Dkt. No. 29 |
| 11 | Defendant. | |
| 12 | Pursuant to Civil Local Rule 3-12(c), this case and following cases are hereby REFERRED | |
| 13 | to the Honorable Susan Illston for consideration whether the cases are related to Dunson, et al. v. | |
| 14 | Cordis Corp., et al., 3:16-cv-03076-SI: | |
| 15 | Resovsky v. Cordis Corp., et al., 3:16-cv-03082-EMC | |
| 16 | Grant, et al. v. Cordis Corp., et al., 3:16-cv-03083-HSG | |
| 17 | Herbert, et al. v. Cordis Corp., et al., 3:16-cv-03085-JST | |
| 18 | Holden, et al. v. Cordis Corp., et al., 3:16-cv-03087-TEH | |
| 19 | Oehring, et al. v. Cordis Corp., et al., 4:16-cv-03088-JSW | |
| 20 | Barber, et al. v. Cordis Corp., et al., 3:16-cv-03086-JD | |
| 21 | Sutton, et al. v. Cordis Corp., et al., 3:16-cv-04012-VC | |
| 22 | IT IS SO ORDERED. | |
| 23 | Dated: July 27, 2016 | W-11.00 |
| 24 | | |
| 25 | WILLIAM H. ORRICK United States District Judge | |
| 26 | | |